



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/520,748

08/04/2005

Paul Longden

0148/383US

5046

23638

7590

03/16/2009

ADAMS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW, P.A.

Suite 2350 Charlotte Plaza

201 South College Street

CHARLOTTE, NC 28244

EXAMINER

KWIECINSKI, RYAN D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3635

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/16/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/520,748	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LONGDEN, PAUL	
	<b>Examiner</b> RYAN D. KWIECINSKI	<b>Art Unit</b> 3635	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) RYAN D. KWIECINSKI. (3) Thad Adams.

(2) Richard Chilcot. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 10 March 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: A sample of the falso muntin glazing assembly was presented.

Claim(s) discussed: Proposed claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: Werner and Burgess.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed amendments present claim limitations that read over the prior art. Although the claim language is not the exact language used in the specification, the language is in fact in accordance with the specification and terminology known in the art. The proposed amendments will be submitted for further consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ryan D Kwiecinski/ Examiner, Art Unit 3635	/Richard E. Chilcot, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3635
--	---